When Malware Changed Its Mind: An Empirical Study of Variable Program Behaviors in the Real World

Erin Avllazagaj¹, Ziyun Zhu², Leyla Bilge³, Davide Balzarotti⁴, Tudor Dumitraş¹

¹University of Maryland, College Park ²Facebook ³NortonLifeLock Research Group ⁴EURECOM











whoami

• Erin Avllazagaj

- Phd Student @ University of Maryland, College Park
- Data-driven analysis of malware in the wild



Agenda

- Drawbacks of dynamic analysis
- Measuring variability
- Finding invariants
 - Actionable implications
- Malware VS current dynamic analysis
 - Lessons learned





• Missing libraries, different language settings, etc.^[1]

٢1	1		
1-	-]		Description of behavior
	76.76~%	142	same behavior
	9.19~%	17	evasion of Anubis
	5.41~%	10	.NET environment required
	3.24~%	6	evasion of our driver
	3.24~%	6	different behavior due to other characteristics
	2.16~%	4	not working in the German environment

[1] Lindorfer et al. "Detecting environment-sensitive malware." RAID, 2011.



- Missing libraries, different language settings, etc.^[1]
- Prudent practices^[2]:
 - "[...] caution generalizing from a single OS version [...]"

[]	-]		Description of behavior
	76.76~%	142	same behavior
	9.19~%	17	evasion of Anubis
	5.41~%	10	.NET environment required
	3.24~%	6	evasion of our driver
	3.24~%	6	different behavior due to other characteristics
	2.16~%	4	not working in the German environment

Lindorfer et al. "Detecting environment-sensitive malware." *RAID*, 2011.
 Rossow et al. "Prudent practices for designing malware experiments: Status quo and outlook." IEEE S&P, 2012.



• Example: Ramnit Worm

```
int __cdecl try_to_exploit(LPSTR lpCommandLine)
2
     {
       if ( !is_win8() && !is_win8_1() )
4
       {
5
        if ( is xp() )
        {
           if ( !check_updates_xp((int)"KB3000061") )
8
           {
             if ( is_admin() )
               return 1;
     LABEL 6:
11
             execute_CVE_2014_4113(lpCommandLine);
13
             return 1;
14
           3
         3
16
         else if ( !check_updates_other((int)"KB3000061") )
17
         {
           if ( is_admin() && check_authority() > 1 )
             return 1;
           goto LABEL_6;
        3
         try second exploit(lpCommandLine);
23
         return 1;
24
       3
       return 0;
26
```



- Example: Ramnit Worm
 - Exploits CVE-2013-3660
 - Line 22
 - Local Privilege escalation on Win 7
 - Creates hundreds of mutexes
 - until exploit succeeds

```
int __cdecl try_to_exploit(LPSTR lpCommandLine)
       if ( !is_win8() && !is_win8_1() )
4
5
         if (is xp())
         {
           if ( !check_updates_xp((int)"KB3000061") )
8
           {
             if ( is_admin() )
               return 1;
     LABEL 6:
             execute_CVE_2014_4113(lpCommandLine);
13
             return 1;
14
           3
         3
16
         else if ( !check_updates_other((int)"KB3000061") )
17
         {
           if ( is_admin() && check_authority() > 1 )
             return 1;
           goto LABEL_6;
         try second exploit(lpCommandLine);
23
         return 1;
24
       return 0;
26
```



- Example: Ramnit Worm
 - Exploits CVE-2013-3660
 - Line 22
 - Local Privilege escalation on Win 7
 - Creates hundreds of mutexes
 - until exploit succeeds
 - Only works on:
 - vulnerable OS versions
 - when run in non-admin

1	<pre>intcdec1 try_to_exploit(LPSTR lpCommandLine)</pre>
2	{
З	<pre>if (!is_win8() && !is_win8_1())</pre>
4	{
5	if (is_xp())
6	{
7	<pre>if (!check_updates_xp((int)"KB3000061"))</pre>
8	{
9	<pre>if (is_admin())</pre>
10	return 1;
11	LABEL_6:
12	<pre>execute_CVE_2014_4113(lpCommandLine);</pre>
13	return 1;
14	}
15	}
16	<pre>else if (!check_updates_other((int)"KB3000061"))</pre>
17	{
18	<pre>if (is_admin() && check_authority() > 1)</pre>
19	return 1;
20	<pre>goto LABEL_6;</pre>
21	}
22	<pre>try_second_exploit(lpCommandLine);</pre>
23	return 1;
24	}
25	return 0;
26	}



Research Questions

RQ1: Variability analysis in the wild

— What parts of the execution trace vary more? And by how much?



Research Questions

RQ1: Variability analysis in the wild

— What parts of the execution trace vary more? And by how much?

RQ2: Invariant analysis in the wild

– Can we find behavioral invariants across executions?



Research Questions

RQ1: Variability analysis in the wild

— What parts of the execution trace vary more? And by how much?

RQ2: Invariant analysis in the wild

– Can we find behavioral invariants across executions?

RQ3: Impact of variability

– What is the impact of variability on malware detection and clustering?



The Dataset

- 7.6M execution traces
- 5.4M real users' machines in >100 countries in the world
- From **2018**





The Dataset

- 7.6M execution traces
- 5.4M real users' machines in >100 countries in the world
- From **2018**
- No private data is collected, passive recording





Action type	File name	File path	 sample Hash	Exec.id	Mach.id
File Create	setup.exe	CSIDL_PROFILE	 AAA	1	abc
Mtx. Create	mtx!asjkf	-	 ABC	5243523	abd



Action type	File name	File path	 sample Hash	Exec.id	Mach.id
File Create	setup.exe	CSIDL_PROFILE	 AAA	1	abc
Mtx. Create	mtx!asjkf	-	 ABC	5243523	abd



Action type	File nam e	File path	 sam ple Hash	Exec.i d	Mach. id
File Create	setup .exe	CSIDL_PR OFILE	 AAA	1	abc
			 AAA		



Action type	File nam e	File path	 sam ple Hash	Exec.i d	Mach. id
Mtx. Create	mtx! asjkf	-	 ABC	5243 523	abd
			 ABC		



Action type	File name	File path	 sample Hash	Exec.id	Mach.id
File Create	setup.exe	CSIDL_PROFILE	 AAA	1	abc
Mtx. Create	mtx!asjkf	-	 ABC	5243523	abd



Action type	File nam e	File path	 sam ple Hash	Exec.i d	Mach. id
File Create	setup .exe	CSIDL_PR OFILE	 AAA	1	abc
			 AAA		



Using VirusTotal labels and AVClass^[1] (2019) we found:

22K benign, 2.4K malware and 1.6K PUP

type

Mtx.

•••

Create

asjkf

...

•••



[1] Sebastián et al. "Avclass: A tool for massive malware labeling." RAID, 2016.

ABC

...

523

•••

•••

Action type	File name	File path	 sample Hash	Exec.id	Mach.id
File Create	setup.exe	CSIDL_PROFILE	 AAA	1	abc
Mtx. Create	mtx!asjkf	-	 ABC	5243523	abd



Action type	File name	File path	 samp le Hash	Exec.i d	Mach. id
File Create	setup .exe	CSIDL_PR OFILE	 AAA	1	abc
			 AAA		



(split by hash)



Using VirusTotal labels and AVClass^[1] (**2019**) we found:

22K benign, 2.4K malware and 1.6K PUP



[1] Sebastián et al. "Avclass: A tool for massive malware labeling." RAID, 2016.

00

RQ1: Variability Analysis In The Wild

• Ramnit worm exploit

1	<pre>intcdecl try_to_exploit(LPSTR lpCommandLine)</pre>
2	{
З	if (!is_win8() && !is_win8_1())
4	{
5	if (is_xp())
6	{
7	<pre>if (!check_updates_xp((int)"KB3000061"))</pre>
8	{
9	<pre>if (is_admin())</pre>
10	return 1;
11	LABEL_6:
12	<pre>execute_CVE_2014_4113(lpCommandLine);</pre>
13	return 1;
14	}
15	}
16	<pre>else if (!check_updates_other((int)"KB3000061"))</pre>
17	{
18	<pre>if (is_admin() && check_authority() > 1)</pre>
19	return 1;
20	<pre>goto LABEL_6;</pre>
21	}
22	<pre>try_second_exploit(lpCommandLine);</pre>
23	return 1;
24	}
25	return 0;
26	}



RQ1: Variability Analysis In The Wild

- Ramnit worm exploit
- When this line is reached
 - ~100 more mutex create events
 - based on the machine

1	<pre>intcdecl try_to_exploit(LPSTR lpCommandLine)</pre>
2	{
3	<pre>if (!is_win8() && !is_win8_1())</pre>
4	{
5	if (is_xp())
6	{
7	<pre>if (!check_updates_xp((int)"KB3000061"))</pre>
8	{
9	<pre>if (is_admin())</pre>
0	return 1;
1	LABEL_6:
12	<pre>execute_CVE_2014_4113(lpCommandLine);</pre>
13	return 1;
4	}
15	}
16	<pre>else if (!check_updates_other((int)"KB3000061"))</pre>
.7	{
8	<pre>if (is_admin() && check_authority() > 1)</pre>
19	return 1;
20	<pre>goto LABEL_6;</pre>
21	}
22	<pre>try_second_exploit(lpCommandLine);</pre>
23	return 1;
24	}
25	return 0;
26	}



Methodology (for each hash)

i i	Sa-			 		
7	Action type	File name	File path	 samp le Hash	Exec.i d	Mach. id
	File Create	setup .exe	CSIDL_PR OFILE	 ΑΑΑ	1	abc
				 AAA		



Methodology (for each hash)

Action type	File name	File p	ath		samp le Hash	Exec d	.i M id	ach.		
File Create	setup .exe	CSIDI OFILE	PR		AAA	1	ab	с		
					AAA					
Mach. id	A ty	ction vpe	File name	e F	ile path		sam le Hast	D Ex d	kec.i	Mach. id
abc	Fi Ci	le reate	2222 2.exe		CSIDL_PR OFILE		AAA	4		aaa
abc							ΑΑΑ	3		aaa

(Group by machine ID and remove executions after week 0)

Action type	File name	File path	 samp le Hash	Exec.i d	Mach. id
File Create	setup .exe	CSIDL_PR OFILE	 AAA	1	abc
			 ΑΑΑ	2	abc



Action

type

File Create

•••

Methodology (for each hash)

(Group by machine ID and remove executions after week 0)

Action type	File name	File path	 samp le Hash	Exec.i d	Mach. id
File Create	setup .exe	CSIDL_PR OFILE	 AAA	1	abc
			 AAA	2	abc

...

	File nam	File p	ath		samp le Hash	Exe d	ec.i	Mach id	ı .		
	setu .exe	etup CSIDL_PR exe OFILE			AAA	1		abc			
					AAA						
ļ											
		Action type	File name	F	ile path			samp le Hash	Ex d	ec.i	Mach. id
		File	2222	(SIDL_PR			AAA	4		aaa
		Create	2.exe	C	JFILE						
		Create 	2.exe 					AAA	3		ааа

File Creations:5Mutex Creations:2

... Total: 52 File Creations: 5 Mutex Creations: 42 ...

Total: 92



Action

type

File Create

•••

Methodology (for each hash)

(Group by machine ID and remove executions after week 0)

Action type	File name	File path	 samp le Hash	Exec.i d	Mach. id
File Create	setup .exe	CSIDL_PR OFILE	 AAA	1	abc
			 AAA	2	abc

File nan	ne	File path			samp le Hash	Exec.i d		Mach. id			
setu .exe	setup CSIDL_PR exe OFILE			AAA	1		abc				
					AAA						
	J	r									
	Ac tyj	tion pe	File name	• F	File path		9	samp le Hash	Ex d	ec.i	Mach. id
	Fil Cr	e eate	2222 2.exe		CSIDL_PR OFILE		AAA		4		ааа
							/	AAA	3		aaa
	J	r									

File Creations: 5 Mutex Creations: 2 Mutex Creations: 42 ... Total: 52 $[45, ..., 52, ..., 92, ..., 100] \implies IQR \rightarrow 92 - 52 = 40$

When Malware Changed Its Mind

Methodology (for each hash)

(Group by machine ID and remove executions after week 0)

Action type	File name	File path	 samp le Hash	Exec.i d	Mach. id
File Create	setup .exe	CSIDL_PR OFILE	 AAA	1	abc
			 AAA	2	abc

File Creations:

Mutex Creations:

	Sat											
	Action type	File nan	File p	bath		samp le Hash	Exe d	:.i	Macl id	n.		
	File Create	setu .exe	ap CSID OFILI	L_PR E		ΑΑΑ	1		abc			
						AAA						
ek 0)			Ļ									
Exec.i d	Mach. id		Action type	File name	•	ile path		s Id H	amp e Hash	Exec. d	i Mach. id	
1	abc		File Create	2222 2.exe	(. (CSIDL_PR OFILE		A	AA	4	ааа	
2	abc							4	AAA	3	ааа	
ons: ation	5 is: 2		File Cr Mute	reatio « Cre	ons ati	s: ! ons: /	5 42	A	Analy	ysis i	in the p	ape
1			Total:	92								- Martin
[45,	, 52	,	, 92 ,	, 1	.00			IQ	$R \rightarrow$	92 -	– 52 = 4	0



...

Total: 52





Back to Ramnit



- Intuition
 - Trace $1 \rightarrow$ vulnerable machine (and running in user mode)
 - Trace 2 \rightarrow machine is not vulnerable (or running in Admin mode)
- IQR variability across machines will be large for Ramnit (sum of the green bars)



- At least 50% of the malware:
 - 59 missing or additional actions





- At least 50% of the malware:
 - 59 missing or additional actions



- File creation
 - The major source of machine-induced variability in malware.





- Methodology:
 - IQR of the number of unique parameter values across different machines.
- Number of unique file names varies by 25 across machines

			Median		75 th percentile				
		Mal	PUP	Ben	Mal	PUP	Ben		
	Path	4	1	-	10	3	2		
file	Name	25	2	1	49	8	8		
	Ext.	3	1	-	5	2	1		



Details in the paper

• Measuring parameter value overlap

When Malware Changed Its Mind

Details in the paper

- Measuring parameter value overlap
 - No shared value across machines for ~99% of the samples.



Details in the paper

- Jaccard index:
 - 0 for file names
 - 0.2 for mutex names.



- Jaccard index:
 - 0 for file names
 - 0.2 for mutex names.
- IQR:
 - Number of file creations: 0
 - Number of mutex creations: 2



- Jaccard index:
 - 0 for file names
 - 0.2 for mutex names.
- IQR:
 - Number of file creations: 0
 - Number of mutex creations: 2
- Mutexes were a better candidate for building signatures
 - h48yorbq6rm87zot \rightarrow appeared in all the machines
 - ZonesCacheCounterMutex and ZoneAttributeCacheCounterMutex only appeared in half of the machines, which explains the IQR of 2





- Jaccard index:
 - 0 for file names
 - 0.2 for mutex names.
- IQR:
 - Number of file creations: 0
 - Number of mutex creations: 2
- Mutexes were a better candidate for building signatures
 - h48yorbq6rm87zot \rightarrow appeared in all the machines
 - ZonesCacheCounterMutex and ZoneAttributeCacheCounterMutex only appeared in half of the machines, which explains the IQR of 2
 - Confirmed by a report from TrendMicro^[1]



https://www.trendmicro.com/vinfo/us/threat-encyclopedia/search/trojanspy.win 32.glup teba.a.
RQ1 Summary

- High variability in malware across machines
 - File Creation makes up most of variability in malware
 - File name is the most variable parameter
 - For most malware there isn't a single parameter value shared across all machines (except file extension)



• Can we find an invariant to detect these malware?



- Focus on action-parameter pair signatures
 - used in Sigma
 - SIEM rules

logsource:

category: process_creation

product: windows

detection:

selection:

CommandLine: '*-noni -ep bypass \$*'

condition: selection

https://github.com/SigmaHQ/sigma



Focus on action-parameter pair signatures

used in cuckoo

• Suspicious activity

class CreatesUserFolderEXE(Signature):

```
name = "creates_user_folder_exe"
description = "Creates an executable file in a user folder"
severity = 3
families = ["persistance"]
authors = ["Kevin Ross"]
minimum = "2.0"
ttp = ["T1129"]
```

directories_re = [

"^[a-zA-Z]:\\\\Users\\\[^\\\\]+\\\AppData\\\.*",
"^[a-zA-Z]:\\\\Documents\\ and\\ Settings\\\\[^\\\\]+\\\Local\\ Settings\\\\.*",

def on_complete(self):
 for dropped in self.get_results("dropped", []):
 if "filepath" in dropped:
 droppedtype = dropped["type"]
 filepath = dropped["filepath"]
 if "MS-DOS executable" in droppedtype:
 for directory in self.directories_re:
 if re.match(directory, filepath):
 self.mark_ioc("file", filepath)

return self.has_marks() https://github.com/cuckoosandbox/community/tree/master/modules/signatures



logsource:

category: process_creation

product: windows

detection:

selection:

CommandLine: '*-noni -ep bypass \$*

condition: selection

https://github.com/SigmaHQ/sigma

- Focus on action-parameter pair
 - used in Sigma
 - used in cuckoo



```
name = "creates_user_folder_exe"
description = "Creates an executable file in a user folder"
severity = 3
families = ["persistance"]
authors = ["Kevin Ross"]
minimum = "2.0"
ttp = ["T1129"]
```



def on_complete(self):
 for dropped in self.get_results("dropped", []):
 if "filepath" in dropped:
 droppedtype = dropped["type"]
 filepath = dropped["filepath"]
 if "MS-DOS executable" in droppedtype:
 for directory in self.directories_re:
 if re.match(directory, filepath):
 self.mark_ioc("file", filepath)

return self.has_marks()
https://github.com/cuckoosandbox/community/tree/master/modules/signatures



When Malware Changed Its Mind

logsource:

category: process_creation

product: windows

detection:

selection:

CommandLine: '*-noni-epbypass \$*

condition: selection

https://github.com/SigmaHQ/sigma

Prevalence of basic tokens

logsource: title: Octopus Scanner Malware category: registry_event id: 805c55d9-31e6-4846-9878-c34c75054fe9 product: windows status: experimental description: Detects Octopus Scanner Malware. detection: selection: references: TargetObject: - https://securitylab.github.com/research/octopus-scanner-malware-open-source-supply-chain HKCR CLSID [E08A0F4B-1F65-4D4D-9A09-BD4625B9C5A1] Mode1 tags: TargetObject|endswith: - attack.t1195 # covers HKU* and HKLM. - attack.t1195.001 - SOFTWARE App AppXbf13d4ea2945444d8b13e2121cb6b663 Application author: NVISO VSOFTWARE App AppXbf13d4ea2945444d8b13e2121cb6b663 DefaultIcon date: 2020/06/09 SOFTWARE App AppX70162486c7554f7f80f481985d67586d Application logsource: SOFTWARE App AppX70162486c7554f7f80f481985d67586 product: windows SOFTWARE App AppX37cc7fdccd644b4f85f4b22d5a3f105a category: file event '\ SOF TWAR detection: selection2: selection: TargetObject startswith: TargetFilename|endswith: 'HKU\ AppData Local Microsoft Cache134.dat 'AppData Local Microsoft ExplorerSync TargetObject contains: # HKCU\SOFTWARE\Classes\AppXc52346ec40fb4061ad96be0e6cb7d16a\ condition: selection - '_Classes\AppXc52346ec40fb4061ad96be0e6cb7d16a\' falsepositives: # HKCU\SOFTWARE\Classes\AppX3bbba44c6cae4d9695755183472171e2\ - Unknown - '_Classes\AppX3bbba44c6cae4d9695755183472171e2\' level: high # HKCU\SOFTWARE\Classes\CLSID\{E3517E26-8E93-458D-A6DF-8030BC80528B}\ - '_Classes(CLSID) {E3517E26-8E93-458D-A6DF-8030BC80528B}\' - '_Classes'CLSID {E08A0F4B-1F65-4D4D-9A09-BD4625B9C5A1}\Model'



When Malware Changed Its Mind

App AppX37cc7fdccd644b4f85f4b22d5a3f105a

DefaultIco

Application

DefaultIcor

Prevalence of basic tokens

- Has at least 1 token if:
 - No regular expression characters and can be tokenized
 - If it has at least 3 tokens between 2 regular expression characters





Prevalence of basic tokens

- Has at least 1 token if:
 - No regular expression characters and can be tokenized
 - If it has at least 3 tokens between 2 regular expression characters
- ~70% of all the Sigma open-source rules have at least 1 full token

title: Octopus Scanner Malware	logsource:
id: 805c55d9-31e6-4846-9878-c34c75054fe9	category: registry_event
status: experimental	product: windows
description: Detects Octopus Scanner Malware.	detection:
references:	selection:
- https://securitylab.github.com/research/octopus-scanner-malware-open-source-supply-chain	TargetObject:
tags:	- HKCRCLSID E08A0F4B-1F65-4D4D-9A09-BD4625B9C5A1} Model
- attack.t1195	TargetObject endswith:
- attack.t1195.001	$\#$ covers HKU* and HKLM
author: NVISO	 SOFTWARE App AppXbf13d4ea2945444d8b13e2121cb6b663 Application
date: 2020/06/09	 '\SOFTWARE\App\AppXbf13d4ea2945444d8b13e2121cb6b663\DefaultIcon'
logsource:	 SOFTWARE App AppX70162486c7554f7f80f481985d67586d Application
product: windows	 SOFTWARE App AppX70162486c7554f7f80f481985d67586 DefaultIcon
category: file_event	 SOFTWARE App AppX37cc7fdccd644b4f85f4b22d5a3f105a Application
detection:	 '\SOFTWARE App AppX37cc7fdccd644b4f85f4b22d5a3f105a DefaultIcon
selection:	selection2:
TargetFilename endswith:	TargetObject startswith:
- '\AppDataLocal Microsoft Cache134 dat'	- <u>'HKU\</u>
- '\AppDataLocalMicrosoft\ExplorerSync.db'	TargetObject contains:
condition: selection	<pre># HKCU\SOFTWARE\Classes\AppXc52346ec40fb4061ad96be0e6cb7d16a\</pre>
falsepositives:	- '_Classes\AppXc52346ec40fb4061ad96be0e6cb7d16a\'
- Unknown	<pre># HKCU\SOFTWARE\Classes\AppX3bbba44c6cae4d9695755183472171e2\</pre>
level: high	- '_Classes\AppX3bbba44c6cae4d9695755183472171e2\'
	# UKCUL COTTUDE Classes CLEED (F2517526 8502 4505 4605 90200005290)
	# HKC0/SOF1WAKE/CT45SES/CLSTD/{ESS1/E20-6E95-436D-AODE-6050DC60326B}/
	+ HILD (SUF HWHIT (LIDSES (LLSID) {ESSI7E20-8E9S-4380-A00F-8030BC805288} \ - '_Classes (LLSID) {ESSI7E26-8E93-458D-A6DF-8030BC805288} \'



•

N	~					
	Action type	File name	File path	 samp le Hash	Exec.i d	Mach. id
	File Create	setup .exe	CSIDL_PR OFILE	 ΑΑΑ	1	abc
				 AAA		

Extract parameter values



CSIDL_PROFILE icon.png.wnry setup.exe cmd.exe_del_virus.exe

Split them by delimiter

CSIDL_PROFILE
icon
png
wnry
setup
exe
cmd
del
virus

و	Action type	File nam e	File path	 sam ple Hash	Exec.i d	Mach. id
	Mtx. Create	mtx! asjkf	-	 ABC	5243 523	abd
				 ABC		

mtx!asjkf CSIDL_PROFILE/folder1 runprogram.exe icon.png CSIDL_APPDATA/bin config.ini setup.exe

mtx!asjkf CSIDL_PROFILE folder1 runprogram exe icon png CSIDL_APPDATA bin config ini setup exe



CSIDL_PROFILE icon png wnry setup exe cmd del virus			mtx!asjkf CSIDL_PROFILE folder1 runprogram exe icon png CSIDL_APPDATA bin config ini setup exe
---	--	--	--



(Remove values that appear in benign samples)



CSIDL_PROFILE icon png wnry setup exe cmd del virus		mtx!asjkf CSIDL_PROFILE folder1 runprogram exe icon png CSIDL_APPDATA bin config ini setup exe
---	--	--



(Remove values that appear in benign samples)



CSIDL_PROFILE icon png wnry setup exe cmd del virus		mtx!asjkf CSIDL_PROFILE folder1 runprogram exe icon png CSIDL_APPDATA bin config ini setup exe
---	--	--



(Remove values that appear in benign samples)

wnry \rightarrow appears in 30/50 machines \rightarrow 60%

virus \rightarrow appears in 10/50 machines \rightarrow 20%



CSIDL_PROFILE icon png wnry setup exe cmd del virus			mtx!asjkf CSIDL_PROFILE folder1 runprogram exe icon png CSIDL_APPDATA bin config ini setup exe
---	--	--	--



wnry \rightarrow appears in 30/50 machines $\rightarrow 60\%$ virus \rightarrow appears in 10/50 machines $\rightarrow 20\%$ Combination $\rightarrow *(wnry|virus|...)*$

(Remove values that appear in benign samples and only 1 machine)

appear in 65% of the machines



RQ2: How to capture all malware tokens?



RQ2: How to capture all malware tokens?

- Methodology:
 - We perform random sampling of **n** machines
 - Measure the amount of malware tokens
 - Compare with all the sample's malware tokens on all machines



RQ2: How to capture all malware tokens?

- Results:
 - File names are most difficult to completely capture.
 - CMD tokens and subdirectories captured with 10 machines.





- How do these tokens detect?
- How to maximize coverage/detection?
 - Assumption: Sandbox is undetectable.



- How do these tokens detect?
- How to maximize coverage/detection?
 - Assumption: Sandbox is undetectable, just like real machines.
- Pick **n** machines to get the bag of tokens
 - Check how much coverage would we get on the other machines.



- Maximum coverage in 3 randomly generated machines
 - For file name tokens
- One file name token doesn't appear in all machines.
 - Use more than 1 file name





- Analyst needs
 - 4 different sandboxes for CMD line
 - 7 for file path tokens
- Easier to obtain:
 - Poorer coverage than file name





• Use a random vm generator like SecGen [1]



[1] Schreuders, Z. Cliffe, et al. "Security Scenario Generator (SecGen): A Framework for Generating Randomly Vulnerable Rich-scenario VMs for Learning Computer Security and Hosting {CTF} Events." *ASE*. 2017.

When Malware Changed Its Mind

- Use a random vm generator like SecGen [1]
 - with the features proposed by Miramirkhani et al. [2]

Category	Name	Description	User	Sandbox	Baseline
	totalProcesses	# of processes	94.4	35	41
	winupdt	# installed Windows updates	794	19	2
	sysevt	# system of system events	27K	8K	334
System	appevt	# of application events	18 K	2.4K	184
	syssrc	# sources of system events	78	49	48
	appsrc	# sources of application events	40	26	23
	sysdiffdays	Elapsed time since the first system event (days)	370	1.7K	0
	appdiffdays	Elapsed time since the first application event (days)	298	943	0
	recycleBinSize	Total size of the recycle bin (bytes)	2.5G	50M	0
	recycleBinCount	# files in the recycle bin	109	3	0
	tempFilesSize	Total size of temporary system files (bytes)	302	24	8.2
	tempFilesCount	# temporary system files	411	60	10
Disk	miniDumpSize	Total size of process crash minidump files (bytes)	3 M	409K	0
	miniDumpCount	# of process crash minidump files	9	4	0
	thumbsFolderSize	Total size of the system's thumbnails folder (bytes)	63M	8 M	2.6M
	desktopFileCount	# files on the desktop	34	6	3
	ARPCacheEntries	# entries in the ARP cache	19	4.5	5
	dnscacheEntries	# entries in the DNS resolver cache	151	4	3
Natwork	certUtilEntries	# URLs of previously downloaded CRLs	1.7 K	210	6
INCLWOIK	wirelessnetCount	# of cached wireless SSIDs	8	0	0
	tcpConnections	# of active TCP connections	77	27	16
	regSize	Size of the registry (in bytes)	144.8M	53M	35M

TABLE I: Complete list of wear-and-tear artifacts.

 [1] Schreuders, Z. Cliffe, et al. "Security Scenario Generator (SecGen): A Framework for Generating Randomly Vulnerable Rich-scenario VMs for Learning Computer Security and Hosting {CTF} Events." ASE. 2017.
 [2] Micamickhani Naimah at al. "Spatlass candbases: Evading malware analysis systems using wear and tear artifacts." JEEE



[2] Miramirkhani, Najmeh, et al. "Spotless sandboxes: Evading malware analysis systems using wear-and-tear artifacts." IEEE S&P 2017.

- Use a random vm generator like SecGen [1]
 with the features proposed by Miramirkhani et al. [2]
- AV vendors can collect such features

Category	Name	Description	User	Sandbox	Baseline
	totalProcesses	# of processes	94.4	35	41
	winupdt	# installed Windows updates	794	19	2
	sysevt	# system of system events	27K	8K	334
System	appevt	# of application events	18K	2.4K	184
	syssrc	# sources of system events	78	49	48
	appsrc	# sources of application events	40	26	23
	sysdiffdays	Elapsed time since the first system event (days)	370	1.7K	0
	appdiffdays	Elapsed time since the first application event (days)	298	943	0
	recycleBinSize	Total size of the recycle bin (bytes)	2.5G	50M	0
	recycleBinCount	# files in the recycle bin	109	3	0
	tempFilesSize	Total size of temporary system files (bytes)	302	24	8.2
	tempFilesCount	# temporary system files	411	60	10
Disk	miniDumpSize	Total size of process crash minidump files (bytes)	3M	409K	0
	miniDumpCount	# of process crash minidump files	9	4	0
	thumbsFolderSize	Total size of the system's thumbnails folder (bytes)	63M	8M	2.6M
	desktopFileCount	# files on the desktop	34	6	3
	ARPCacheEntries	# entries in the ARP cache	19	4.5	5
	dnscacheEntries	# entries in the DNS resolver cache	151	4	3
Natural	certUtilEntries	# URLs of previously downloaded CRLs	1.7K	210	6
Network	wirelessnetCount	# of cached wireless SSIDs	8	0	0
	tcpConnections	# of active TCP connections	77	27	16
	regSize	Size of the registry (in bytes)	144 8M	53M	35M

TABLE I: Complete list of wear-and-tear artifacts.

 [1] Schreuders, Z. Cliffe, et al. "Security Scenario Generator (SecGen): A Framework for Generating Randomly Vulnerable Rich-scenario VMs for Learning Computer Security and Hosting {CTF} Events." ASE. 2017.
 [2] Micromickhani. Naimeh. et al. "Spetless conductor: Evading malware analysis systems using wear and tear artifacts." IEEE



[2] Miramirkhani, Najmeh, et al. "Spotless sandboxes: Evading malware analysis systems using wear-and-tear artifacts." IEEE S&P 2017.

RQ3: Impact of Variability

- In terms of:
 - Clustering
 - Anomaly detection (AccessMiner^[1], SIEM systems etc)



[1] Lanzi, et al. "Accessminer: using system-centric models for malware protection." CCS 2010.

RQ3: Impact of Variability (clustering)

- Questions:
 - How does malware behavior variability affect clustering?



RQ3: Impact Of Variability (clustering)

- Methodology:
 - Get 4 executions per malware sample in the same week
 - Reproduce the clustering by Bailey et al.^[1]





[1] Bailey et al., Automated Classification and Analysis of Internet Malware, RAID 2007

RQ3: Impact of Variability (clustering)

- Results (out of 2424 malware samples):
 - 1,624 (67%) in the same cluster
 - 655 (27%) in 2 clusters
 - 121 (5%) in 3 clusters
 - 24 (1%) in 4 different cluster



RQ3: Impact of variability (clustering)

- Results (out of 2424 malware samples):
 - 1,624 (67%) in the same cluster
 - 655 (27%) in 2 clusters
 - 121 (5%) in 3 clusters
 - 24 (1%) in 4 different cluster

clustering results with 1 trace per sample may not correctly cluster malware into families



• Questions:



- Questions:
 - Is one execution per benign sample general enough?



- Questions:
 - Is one execution per benign sample general enough?
 - What is the success rate for catching malware and PUP in the wild?



- Methodology:
 - Implement AccessMiner^[1]
 - Select 90% of the benign samples and extract all file write directories
 - Test on all malware and remaining benign file write directories.



Extract features from some benign

Classify other benign and malware



[1] Lanzi, et al. "Accessminer: using system-centric models for malware protection." CCS 2010.

- Using 1 random benign execution for training
 - More malware can be detected in all machines.
 - High false-positive rate



Ratio of samples for each detection rate



- Using 1 random benign execution for training
 - More malware can be detected in all machines.
 - High false-positive rate
- Using all benign executions:
 - Significantly lower FP rate
 - Detection rate is low



Ratio of samples for each detection rate

When Malware Changed Its Mind

RQ3: Summary

- When employing malware analysis methods:
 - Use multiple executions of the same malware samples
 - Use multiple executions of the benign samples




- First measurement of malware behavior at scale:
 - Single trace per malware sample is not enough



- First measurement of malware behavior at scale:
 - Single trace per malware sample is not enough
- Variability in malware is greater than PUP and benign
 Across both time and machines



- First measurement of malware behavior at scale:
 - Single trace per malware sample is not enough
- Variability in malware is greater than PUP and benign
 Across both time and machines
- It's still feasible to find invariant in malware behavior
 AV vendors can safely do it



Thank you!

Erin Avllazagaj

albocode@umd.edu

